

Interpolating Subspaces in l_1 -Spaces

J. H. BIGGS, F. R. DEUTSCH,* R. E. HUFF, P. D. MORRIS, J. E. OLSON

*Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University,
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802*

Communicated by E. W. Cheney

Received December 7, 1970

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of an *interpolating subspace* of a normed linear space was introduced in [1] as a generalization of a Haar subspace in $C[a, b]$. A very lengthy and nonconstructive proof was given in [1] to show that the *real* spaces l_1 and l_1^m contain interpolating subspaces of every dimension. In this paper in Section 2, we give a *constructive* proof which is substantially shorter. In Section 3, we show that quite the opposite is true for the *complex* spaces l_1 and l_1^m . Indeed (Theorem 3.6): no proper subspace M of dimension greater than one is interpolating for any point outside M . (It is clear that the unit vector $(1, 0, \dots)$ in l_1 or l_1^m spans a one-dimensional interpolating subspace.)

Our terminology conforms to that of [1]. Let M be an n -dimensional subspace of a normed linear space X . If x is in X , we say that M is *interpolating for x* if, for each set of n functionals x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* in $\text{ext } S(X^*)$, there is a $y \in M$ such that $x_i^*(y) = x_i^*(x)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). (Here $\text{ext } S(X^*)$ denotes the set of extreme points of the unit ball of X^* .) M is an *interpolating subspace* of X if and only if M is interpolating for every $x \in X$. (Although it will not be needed in the sequel, the following fact is of independent interest: if M is interpolating for some $x \in X$, then M is an interpolating subspace of the linear span of M and x ; hence by [1, Theorem 2.2], x has a unique best approximation in M .)

Recall that $l_1^* = l_\infty$, $(l_1^m)^* = l_\infty^m$, and that $x^* = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots) \in l_\infty$ (respectively, $x^* = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m) \in l_\infty^m$) is in $\text{ext } S(l_1^*)$ (respectively, $\text{ext } S[(l_1^m)^*]$) if and only if $|\sigma_i| = 1$ for all i .

* This author was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

2. A CONSTRUCTIVE PROOF OF THE EXISTENCE OF INTERPOLATING SUBSPACES IN THE REAL SPACES l_1 AND l_1^m

Consider first the space l_1 . Fix an arbitrary $n \geq 1$. Set $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots)$ where

$$x_{ij} = r^{2^{nj+i}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and

$$0 < r < (1 + n^{n/2})^{-1}$$

We shall show that x_1, \dots, x_n is a basis for an n -dimensional interpolating subspace in l_1 . This is equivalent to

$$\det [x_i^*(x_j)] \neq 0 \text{ for every set of } n \text{ linearly independent functionals } x_i^* \text{ in ext } S(l_1^*). \tag{1}$$

Let $x_i^* = (\sigma_{i1}, \sigma_{i2}, \dots)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be linearly independent functionals in $\text{ext } S(l_1^*)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \det [x_i^*(x_j)] &= \begin{vmatrix} \sum \sigma_{1j} x_{1j} & \sum \sigma_{1j} x_{2j} & \cdots & \sum \sigma_{1j} x_{nj} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \sum \sigma_{nj} x_{1j} & \sum \sigma_{nj} x_{2j} & \cdots & \sum \sigma_{nj} x_{nj} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1}^{\infty} x_{1j_1} x_{2j_2} \cdots x_{nj_n} D(j_1, \dots, j_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D(j_1, \dots, j_n) = \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_{1j_1} & \sigma_{1j_2} & \cdots & \sigma_{1j_n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \sigma_{nj_1} & \sigma_{nj_2} & \cdots & \sigma_{nj_n} \end{vmatrix} \tag{1}$$

Substituting for x_{ij} , we get

$$\det [x_i^*(x_j)] = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1}^{\infty} r^{2^{nj_1+1} + 2^{nj_2+2} + \dots + 2^{nj_n+n}} D(j_1, \dots, j_n). \tag{2}$$

Suppose $\{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$ and $\{j_1', \dots, j_n'\}$ are any two sets of positive integers such that

$$2^{nj_1+1} + 2^{nj_2+2} + \dots + 2^{nj_n+n} = 2^{nj_1'+1} + 2^{nj_2'+2} + \dots + 2^{nj_n'+n}. \tag{3}$$

Because of the special form of the exponents in expression (3), and because every integer has a unique binary expansion, it follows that $j_i = j_i'$ for

$i = 1, \dots, n$. In particular then, distinct ordered arrays $\{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$ give rise to distinct powers of r in (2). Hence each nonzero coefficient of the right side of expression (2), regarded as a power series in r , is a determinant $D(j_1, \dots, j_n)$.

LEMMA 2.1. *The coefficients $D(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ are all integers and at least one is nonzero. Moreover, $|D(j_1, \dots, j_n)| \leq n^{n/2}$.*

Proof. Since $\sigma_{ij} = \pm 1$ for every i and j , it follows from (1) that $D(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ is an integer. Further, by Hadamard's determinant inequality, $|D(j_1, \dots, j_n)| \leq n^{n/2}$. Since the vectors $x_i^* = (\sigma_{i1}, \sigma_{i2}, \dots)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are linearly independent, the rank of the n by ∞ matrix having these vectors as rows is n . Hence $D(j_1, \dots, j_n) \neq 0$ for some j_1, \dots, j_n .

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $f(r) = \sum_0^\infty a_n r^n$ be a power series whose coefficients are integral, not all zero, and $|a_n| \leq M$. If $0 < \xi < (1 + M)^{-1}$, then $f(\xi) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let N denote the smallest integer n such that $a_n \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\xi)| &= |a_N \xi^N + a_{N+1} \xi^{N+1} + \dots| \\ &\geq |a_N| |\xi|^N - \sum_{N+1}^\infty |a_n| |\xi|^n \\ &\geq |\xi|^N - M \frac{|\xi|^{N+1}}{1 - |\xi|} = \frac{|\xi|^N}{1 - |\xi|} [1 - (1 + M)|\xi|] \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now for each set of n linearly independent functionals $x_i^* \in \text{ext } S(l_1^*)$, the expression (2) is a power series in r with coefficients $D(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ which satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2 with $M = n^{n/2}$. Since $0 < r < (1 + n^{n/2})^{-1}$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that (I) holds, and so we have that x_1, \dots, x_n spans an n -dimensional interpolating subspace in l_1 .

For the case l_1^m , fix an arbitrary integer $1 \leq n \leq m$. Set

$$x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{im}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

where (as before)

$$x_{ij} = r^{2^{n+j+i}}$$

and $0 < r < (1 + n^{n/2})^{-1}$. Then exactly the same proof as above shows that x_1, \dots, x_n spans an n -dimensional interpolating subspace in l_1^m .

3. THE NONEXISTENCE OF INTERPOLATING SUBSPACES OF DIMENSION GREATER THAN ONE IN THE COMPLEX SPACES l_1 AND l_1^m .

We first prove four lemmas concerning matrices. For these lemmas, unless otherwise stated, lower case letters will denote complex numbers.

Call two numbers a and b *parallel* if there exist real numbers x and y , not both zero, such that $xa + yb = 0$.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $B = (b_{ij})$ is a 3×2 matrix whose first two rows are linearly independent, then there is a nonzero vector (c_1, c_2, c_3) in the column space of B and a solution z_1, z_2, z_3 , to the equation $z_1c_1 + z_2c_2 + z_3c_3 = 0$ such that $|z_i| = 1$ and either*

- (i) *some two of the numbers $z_i c_i$ are not parallel, or*
- (ii) *$c_3 = 0$.*

Proof. First perform column operations to bring B into the form

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ a & b \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $|a| = |b|$, then the column space contains a vector $(c_1, c_2, 0)$ with $|c_1| = |c_2| = 1$, in which case we take $z_1 = -c_2/c_1, z_2 = z_3 = 1$.

If $a = 0, b \neq 0$, the column space contains the vector $(c_1, c_2, c_3) = (d, 1, b)$ for arbitrary d . If b is real, take $d = -(i + b)$ and $(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (1, i, 1)$. Thus $z_1c_1 + z_2c_2 + z_3c_3 = 0$ and $z_2c_2 = i$ and $z_3c_3 = b$ are not parallel. If b is not real, take $z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = 1$ and $d = -(1 + b)$.

The case $a \neq 0, b = 0$ is similar to the case above. Assume therefore that $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$, and $|a| \neq |b|$. In particular, either $b \neq -1$ or $a \neq -1$. By symmetry, it suffices to assume $b \neq -1$. Choose $z \neq -a, |z| = 1$, such that $(1 + b)z/(a + z)$ is not real. The column space contains the vector $(c_1, c_2, c_3) = (d, 1, da + b)$ for arbitrary d . Take $d = -(1 + b)/(a + z)$ and $(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z, 1, 1)$. Thus $z_1c_1 + z_2c_2 + z_3c_3 = 0$, and z_1c_1 is not parallel to z_2c_2 since $z_1c_1 = zd$ is not real but $z_2c_2 = 1$.

LEMMA 3.2. *If $B = (b_{ij})$ is an $m \times 2$ matrix ($m \geq 3$) of rank 2, then there is a nonzero vector (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m) in the column space of B and a solution z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m to the equation $z_1c_1 + z_2c_2 + \dots + z_mc_m = 0$ such that $|z_i| = 1$ for each i and either*

- (i) *some two of the $z_i c_i$ are not parallel, or*
- (ii) *all but two of the c_i are zero.*

Proof. Rearrange the notation so that the first two rows of B are linearly independent. Let

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \\ a & b \end{bmatrix},$$

where $a = b_{31} + \dots + b_{m1}$, $b = b_{32} + \dots + b_{m2}$, and apply Lemma 3.1: there is a nonzero vector (c_1, c_2, c) in the column space of B_1 and a solution (z_1, z_2, z) to the equation $z_1c_1 + z_2c_2 + zc = 0$ such that $|z_1| = |z_2| = |z| = 1$ and either $c = 0$ or some two of z_1c_1, z_2c_2, zc are not parallel. Let (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m) be the corresponding vector in the column space of B (where $c = c_3 + \dots + c_m$).

If some two of z_1c_1, z_2c_2, zc are not parallel, take $z_3 = \dots = z_m = z$. It follows that some two of $z_1c_1, z_2c_2, \dots, z_mc_m$ are not parallel.

Now suppose $c = 0$. Then $c_1 \neq 0$. If $c_3 = \dots = c_m = 0$, take $z_3 = \dots = z_m = z$. If $c_j \neq 0$ for some $3 \leq j \leq m$, choose $|z'| = 1$ such that z_1c_1 and $z'c_j$ are not parallel, and take $z_3 = \dots = z_m = z'$.

LEMMA 3.3. *If $d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m = 0$ ($m \geq 3$) and some two of the d_i are not parallel, then there is an $(m - 1) \times m$ matrix $W = (w_{ij})$ of rank $m - 1$, with $|w_{ij}| = 1$, such that*

$$W \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \vdots \\ d_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. Proceed by induction on m . For $m = 3$, arrange the notation so that d_1 is not parallel to d_2 . We can assume without loss of generality that $d_1 + d_2 = 1$. Hence neither d_1 nor d_2 is real. Let w, z be the solution of the equation $w d_1 + z d_2 = 1$ which satisfies $|w| = |z| = 1$ and $w \neq z$ (i.e., take $w = \bar{d}_1/d_1, z = \bar{d}_2/d_2$). The matrix

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ w & z & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is then a solution to Eq. (1).

Assume now that $m \geq 4$ and that Lemma 3.3 is true for $m - 1$. If some d_i is zero, we can assume that $d_m = 0$. By the induction hypothesis, there is an $(m - 2) \times (m - 1)$ matrix $W_1 = (w_{ij})$ of rank $m - 2$ such that

$$W_1 \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_{m-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence the matrix

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & \cdots & w_{1,m-1} & 1 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ w_{m-2,1} & \cdots & w_{m-2,m-1} & 1 \\ w_{11} & \cdots & w_{1,m-1} & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

has rank $m - 1$ and satisfies Eq. (1).

Assume therefore that all d_i are nonzero. Since $m \geq 4$, the d_i are all nonzero, and some two of the d_i are not parallel, it follows that there are distinct indices i, j, k such that d_i is not parallel to d_j and $d_i + d_j$ is not parallel to d_k . Without loss of generality, we may assume that d_1 is not parallel to d_2 and $d_1 + d_2$ is not parallel to some d_k ($k \geq 3$). Also, we may assume that $d_1 + d_2 = 1$, and hence that d_1 and d_2 are not real. As in the case $m = 3$, let w, z be the solution to the equation $wd_1 + zd_2 = 1$ which satisfies $|w| = |z| = 1$ and $w \neq z$. By the induction hypothesis, there is an $(m - 2) \times (m - 1)$ matrix $W_1 = (w_{ij})$ such that $|w_{ij}| = 1$, W_1 has rank $m - 2$, and

$$W_1 \begin{bmatrix} d_1 + d_2 \\ d_3 \\ \vdots \\ d_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & w_{11} & w_{12} & \cdots & w_{1,m-1} \\ \vdots & & & & \\ w_{m-2,1} & w_{m-2,1} & w_{m-2,2} & \cdots & w_{m-2,m-1} \\ w_{11} & w_{11} & w_{12} & \cdots & w_{1,m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

has rank $m - 1$ since W_1 has rank $m - 2$ and the last row of W is not a linear combination of the first $m - 2$ rows. Clearly, W is a solution to Eq. (1). This completes the induction step and proves the Lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. *If $B = (b_{ij})$ is an $m \times 2$ matrix of rank 2 ($m \geq 3$), then there is a nonzero vector (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m) in the column space of B and an $(m - 1) \times m$ matrix $E = (\sigma_{ij})$ of rank $m - 1$ such that $|\sigma_{ij}| = 1$ and*

$$E \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 there is a nonzero vector (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m) in the column space of B and a solution z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m to the equation

$z_1c_1 + z_2c_2 + \dots + z_m c_m = 0$ such that $|z_i| = 1$ for each i and which satisfies condition (i) or (ii) of that Lemma.

If (i) holds, some two of the $z_i c_i$ are not parallel. Hence by Lemma 3.3 there is an $(m - 1) \times m$ matrix $W = (w_{ij})$ of rank $m - 1$ such that $|w_{ij}| = 1$ for each i and j and

$$W \begin{bmatrix} z_1 c_1 \\ z_2 c_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_m c_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The $(m - 1) \times m$ matrix $E = (w_{ij} z_j)$ has rank $m - 1$ and is a solution to Eq. (2).

If (ii) holds, we may assume without loss of generality that $c_3 = c_4 = \dots = c_m = 0$. Let $E_1 = (\sigma_{ij})$ be any $(m - 1) \times (m - 1)$ matrix of rank $m - 1$ such that $|\sigma_{ij}| = 1$ and $\sigma_{11} = \sigma_{21} = \dots = \sigma_{m-1,1} = z_2$. Then the $(m - 1) \times m$ matrix

$$E = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_1 \end{matrix} & E_1 \end{array} \right]$$

has rank $m - 1$ and is a solution to Eq. (2).

We shall now use Lemma 3.4 to establish the nonexistence of interpolating subspaces in the complex spaces l_1 and l_1^m .

If M is a finite-dimensional subspace of a normed linear space X and if $A \subset X^*$, then we write $\dim_M A$ for the dimension of the subspace of M^* spanned by the restrictions of the members of A to M .

LEMMA 3.5. *Let M be an n -dimensional subspace of the complex space l_1^m ($1 < n < m$). Then there exists a set $A = \{x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_{m-1}^*\}$ of $m - 1$ linearly independent functionals in $\text{ext } S((l_1^m)^*)$ such that $\dim_M A < n$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, there exist $m - 1$ linearly independent functionals x_1^*, \dots, x_{m-1}^* in $\text{ext } S((l_1^m)^*)$ and a nonzero element $y \in M$ such that $x_i^*(y) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$. Letting $A = \{x_1^*, \dots, x_{m-1}^*\}$, it follows that $\dim_M A < n$.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let M be an n -dimensional subspace ($n > 1$) of complex l_1 (or l_1^m). Then M is not interpolating for any point outside of M . In particular, the complex spaces l_1 and l_1^m contain no proper interpolating subspace of dimension greater than one.*

Proof. Assume first that $M \subset l_1^m$ and suppose, on the contrary, that M is interpolating for some $x_0 \in l_1^m \sim M$. Let M_0 be the linear span of M and x_0 . By Lemma 3.5, there is a set A of $m - 1$ linearly independent functionals in $\text{ext } S((l_1^m)^*)$ such that $\dim_M A < n$. Since M is interpolating for x_0 , it follows that $\dim_{M_0} A = \dim_M A < n$. Let F be a subspace of l_1^m complementary to M_0 , so that $\dim F = m - n - 1$. Then $\dim_F A \leq m - n - 1$ so that

$$\dim(\text{span } A) \leq \dim_{M_0} A + \dim_F A < n + m - n - 1 = m - 1,$$

which is absurd.

Assume next that $M \subset l_1$ and suppose, on the contrary, that M is interpolating for some $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ in $l_1 \sim M$. We choose an integer k so that the map $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \rightarrow (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ is one-to-one on the linear span M_0 of M and y . Define $L: l_1 \rightarrow l_1^{k+1}$ by

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \sum_{k+1}^{\infty} x_i \right).$$

Then L is one-to-one on M_0 . Let $M' = L(M)$ and $y' = L(y)$. Let $\tilde{x}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{x}_n^*$ be functionals in $\text{ext } S((l_1^{k+1})^*)$ with

$$\tilde{x}_i^* = (\sigma_{i1}, \sigma_{i2}, \dots, \sigma_{i,k+1}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Define

$$x_i^* = (\sigma_{i1}, \sigma_{i2}, \dots, \sigma_{ik}, \sigma_{i,k+1}, \sigma_{i,k+1}, \dots)$$

($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then x_i^* is in $\text{ext } S(l_1^*)$. Therefore there exists $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots) \in M$ such that $x_i^*(w) = x_i^*(y)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Letting $w' = L(w)$, we see that

$$\tilde{x}_i^*(w') = x_i^*(w) = x_i^*(y) = \tilde{x}_i^*(y') \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Since the \tilde{x}_i^* were arbitrary, M' is interpolating for y' . But since $y' \notin M'$, this is impossible by the first part of the proof, and hence the proof is complete.

Finally, we cite a result of Ault [2] which is in direct contrast to Theorem 3.6. Let Σ denote any subset of the set $\{z \in \mathcal{C}: |z| = 1\}$. We say that an n -dimensional subspace M of l_1^n is *interpolating relative to Σ* if for each $x \in l_1^n$ and each set of n linearly independent functionals x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* in $\text{ext } S((l_1^n)^*)$ —whose coordinates lie in Σ —there exists $y \in M$ such that $x_i^*(y) = x_i^*(x)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Note that a finite dimensional subspace of l_1^n is interpolating relative to $\Sigma = \{z: |z| = 1\}$ if and only if it is an interpolating subspace.

Using a Baire category argument, Ault proved the following.

THEOREM (see [2, Corollary 1.21]). *Let Σ be any countable subset of $\{z: |z| = 1\}$. Then for each $1 \leq n \leq m$, the complex space l_1^m contains an n -dimensional subspace which is interpolating relative to Σ .*

REFERENCES

1. D. A. AULT, F. R. DEUTSCH, P. D. MORRIS, AND J. E. OLSON, Interpolating subspaces in approximation theory, *J. Approximation Theory*, **3** (1970), 164–182.
2. D. A. AULT, Characterization theorems and algorithms for best approximations, Ph.D. thesis, The Pennsylvania State University, 1970.